

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,205	09/01/2000	Ephraim Feig	YO999-487	6298	
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER		
Harry F Smith		CHUONG, TRUC T			
	Ruggiero & Perle LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark	Square		FAFER NUMBER		
9th Floor Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2174	1	
Statillolu, CI	00701-2002		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	t	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

					/			
_		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	-t			
Office Action Summary		09/654,20	05	FEIG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Truc T Ch	uong	2174	_			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3C) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.)) days, a reply within the statution period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ication to become ABANDO	a timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commu	nication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>20 January 200</i> 4	4.					
2a) ☐	•	2b)⊠ This action is n						
3) 🗌								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from con						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) action to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)	•			
2)	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mai)			

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to RCE, filed 01/20/04.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are pending in this application, and this action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4-6, 8-12, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,771) in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,175,840).

As to claims 1, 11, and 12, Rangan shows a method for indicating the location or time dependent video hypervideo hyperlinks to a user, comprising the steps of:

displaying a video presentation on at least a portion of a display device screen, said video presentation including a hypervideo hyperlink; and

providing the user, at times of viewing, with at least one user selectable display attribute for said hypervideo hyperlink (col. 8 lines 59-67, col. 9 lines 1-11 and figs. 2, 4) but Rangan does not clearly shows said hypervideo hyperlink emphasis region. Chen clearly teaches the hypervideo hyperlink emphasis region (a hot link region, col. 2 lines

Art Unit: 2174

38-60 and figs. 1-2). It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, a person with ordinary skill in the art would add this hot link region into Rangan's hypervideo hyperlinks for a user to be able to alter and manipulate a visual attribute of a portion of the hot link region (col. 2 lines 46-49).

As to claim 3, Rangan teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of displaying to the user, at the time of viewing, an options menu listing said at least one user selectable display attributes, wherein said displaying of said options menu is selectively controlled by the user (col. 15 lines 41-60 and figs. 2, 4).

As to claims 4 and 14, Rangan teaches the method wherein the user selectively controls the displaying of said options menu by positioning a user-controlled cursor in a specified emphasis region of said display device screen (col. 26 lines 7-28 and figs. 2, 4).

As to claim 5, Rangan teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

opening a hypervideo data file (col. 8 lines 66-67 and col. 9 lines 1-7);

decoding a video file associated with said hypervideo data file; and

encoding (encode, col. 20 lines 56-64) the decoded video file with a hypervideo

hyperlink emphasis region in at least one key frame (see claim 1 above for hypervideo

hyperlink emphasis region).

As to claim 6, this is a system claim of method claims 1 and 5. Note the rejections of claims 1 and 5 above.

As to claims 8-10, these are system claims of method claims 3-5. Note the rejections of claims 3-5 above respectively.

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 15 and 17, they are computer program product claims of method claims 1 and 2. Note the rejections of claims 1 and 2 above respectively.

5. Claims 2, 7, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,771) in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,175,840) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Trueblood et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,808,984).

As to claims 2 and 13, Rangan in view of Chen teaches the method wherein at least one of said user selectable display attribute comprises a least one of surrounding said hypervideo hyperlink emphasis region with a visible border, brightening the hypervideo hyperlink emphasis region in relation to other portions of said hypervideo (see claim 1 above), but they do not teach displaying said hypervideo hyperlink emphasis region in gray scale only format, and displaying said hypervideo-hyperlink emphasis region in reverse-color mode format. Trueblood clearly teaches gray scale format and reverse-color mode format (col. 6 lines 16-22 and col. 7 lines 53-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add these features taught by Trueblood into Rangan's system for accurate color mixing (col. 6 lines 16-17).

As to claim 7, this is a system claim of method claim 2. Note the rejection of claim 2 above.

As to claim 16, this is a computer program product claim of method claim 2 above. Note the rejection of claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2174

Response to Arguments

Page 5

6. Applicant's arguments filed in RCE have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued the following:

Rangan does not teach user selectable display attribute.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Rangan clearly shows each of different <u>selectable</u> display topics such as: CNN,

Titanic, NBC, Sports, Music, and shopping (listed as element 73 of fig. 2); each of the

selectable topics can be a <u>video/hypervideo</u> that being downloaded from a video server 9

(col. 25 lines 18-35), and each topic contains related frames (element 74 of fig. 2) to that

particular topic as shown in fig. 2; therefore, each of the <u>selectable attributes</u> (related

frames) of Rangan must also be a <u>link</u>, which links back to the server source, when

requesting for information of a particular topic.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

03/30/04

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100